## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NICHOLAS DARLEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING THIRD REQUEST FOR PRO BONO COUNSEL

22-CV-00714 (PMH)

PHILIP M. HALPERN, United States District Judge:

Plaintiff filed, on October 12, 2023, his third application requested that the Court appoint *pro bono* counsel. (Doc. 95). Plaintiff's first request was denied without prejudice because the Court determined, after application of the factors set forth in *Hodge v. Police Officers*, 802 F.2d 58 (2d Cir. 1986), that Plaintiff's request for *pro bono* counsel was premature. (Doc. 12 at 3). Plaintiff's second request was denied for the same reasons. (Doc. 65). Plaintiff requests the appointment of *pro bono* counsel at this juncture "in order to properly complete the discovery process, such as, depositions, getting an expert witness and handling other aspects that are practically impossible for an incarcerated individual to do." (Doc. 95 at 1).

Applying the *Hodge* factors, the Court cannot determine at this point whether Plaintiff's claims are "likely to be of substance." *Hodge*, 802 F.2d 61-62. The Court similarly finds that the other *Hodge* factors weigh against granting Plaintiff's Second Application. Plaintiff has not shown (1) that he is unable to investigate the crucial facts or present his case, (2) that cross-examination "will be the major proof presented to the fact finder," (3) that this matter will involve complex legal issues, or (4) why appointment of counsel would be more likely to lead to a just determination herein. *Id.* Though Plaintiff asserts that he does not possess legal training to conduct discovery in this action, "lack of legal knowledge, without more, does not provide sufficient basis to appoint counsel." *Tramun* 

v. Ocasio, No. 11-CV-06061, 2012 WL 1142452, at \*1 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 4, 2012). Here, Plaintiff's

claims "are not so complex or unique that a person of Plaintiff's intelligence would be unable to handle

them at this stage." Greenland v. Municipality of Westchester Cnty., No. 18-CV-3157, 2020 WL

4505507, at \*4 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 4, 2020) (cleaned up).

**CONCLUSION** 

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's third request for the appointment of *pro bono* counsel

is denied without prejudice to renewal later in the case. Plaintiff may consider contacting the New

York Legal Assistance Group's ("NYLAG") Clinic for Pro Se Litigants in the Southern District

of New York, which is a free legal clinic staffed by attorneys and paralegals to assist those who

are representing themselves in civil lawsuits in this court. The clinic is run by a private

organization; it is not part of, or run by, the court. It cannot accept filings on behalf of the court,

which must still be made by any pro se party through the Pro Se Intake Unit. A copy of the flyer

with details of the clinic is attached to this order.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order would

not be taken in good faith and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. See

Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

**SO ORDERED:** 

Dated:

White Plains, New York

October 24, 2023

PHILIP M. HALPERN

United States District Judge

2



Since 1990, NYLAG has provided free civil legal services to New Yorkers who cannot afford private attorneys.

## Free Legal Assistance for Self-Represented Civil Litigants in Federal District Court for the Southern District Of New York

The NYLAG Legal Clinic for Pro Se Litigants in the Southern District of New York is a free legal clinic staffed by attorneys, law students and paralegals to assist those who are representing themselves or planning to represent themselves in civil lawsuits in the Southern District of New York. The clinic does not provide full representation. The clinic, which is not part of or run by the court, assists litigants with federal civil cases including cases involving civil rights, employment discrimination, labor law, social security benefits, foreclosure and tax.

## To Contact the Clinic:

Call (212) 659-6190 or complete our online intake form (found here: <a href="https://tinyurl.com/NYLAG-ProSe-OI">https://tinyurl.com/NYLAG-ProSe-OI</a>). A staff member will contact you within a few business days.

Those looking for assistance can also contact the clinic at the kiosk located across the hall from the pro se clinic office in the courthouse.

At this time, the clinic offers remote consultations only. Requests for inperson appointments will be reviewed on a case-to-case basis.

## **Location and Hours:**

Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse

Room LL22 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007 (212) 659 6190

Open weekdays 10 a.m. – 4 p.m. Closed on federal and court holidays

Disclaimer: The information contained herein is for informational purposes only and is not legal advice or a substitute for legal counsel, nor does it constitute advertising or a solicitation.

